

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,777	06/30/2003 Michael S. Cooper	P97274-4	5701	
28548	48 7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
STONEMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD			LEE, KEVIN L	
3113 NORTH 3RD STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/611,777	COOPER, MICHAEL S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KEVIN L. LEE	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	. January 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13,14 and 22-25</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,13,14 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected	d.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB//Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed January 12, 2006 has been thoroughly reviewed and considered by the examiner. The indicated allowability of claims 1-9, 13, 14 and 22-24 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Jackson et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,848,513), Suddeth (U.S. Patent No. 5,058,758) and Vrame (U.S. Patent No. 6,573,449) and reconsideration of the Dumser et al reference (U.S. Patent No. 5,381,902). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9, 14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,848,513). The patent to Jackson et al discloses a method of protecting at least one fire sprinkler riser system (110) of a fire-protectable structure, the method comprising providing a protective enclosure (114) around the fire sprinkler riser system (110), attaching the protective enclosure (114) to a fire-protectable structure, col. 7, lines 5-8 and operatively connecting the riser system

(110) to a fire sprinkler system (10), col. 5, line 11 thru col. 6, line 62. The enclosure (114) includes a cover (114c) and indicia, see Figures 1-3. The riser system (110) is pre-installed in the protective enclosure (114) and is transportable as a unit in the protective enclosure, col. 4, lines 44-47. It would have been obvious as a matter of expedient design to attach the protective enclosure to the structure prior to connecting the riser system to the fire sprinkler system so that the protective enclosure and riser system are disposed in the desired position and the connected fluid lines and hoses are properly fitted.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al in view of Vrame (U.S. Patent No. 6,573,449). The protective enclosure (114) of Jackson et al is disclosed to be mounted to a structure such as a wall, col. 7, lines 6-8. The protective enclosure of Jackson et al lacks having a mud-ring. The patent to Vrame teaches providing a mud-ring (200) with a protective enclosure (100), the mud-ring (200) to install the protective enclosure to a wall panel (P), col. 2, lines 44-52. In view of the teaching of Vrame, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Jackson et al to include a mud-ring as an alternate means of installing the protective enclosure to the wall.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al in view of Suddeth (U.S. Patent No. 5,058,758). The protective enclosure (114) of Jackson et al lacks having a transparent window. The patent to Suddeth teaches

Art Unit: 3753

providing a transparent window (52) in a protective enclosure (B) so that the internal components can be viewed with the protective enclosure in place, col. 3, lines 36-39. In view of the teaching of Suddeth, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Jackson et al to include a transparent window in the protective enclosure so that the internal components in the enclosure can be viewed with the enclosure in place without having to open the cover.

Claims 1-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beukema et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,720,351) in view of Dumser et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,381,902). The patent to Beukema et al discloses a fire sprinkler riser system (40) that is connected to a fire sprinkler system (10, 18). The riser system (40) lacks having a protective enclosure. The patent to Dumser et al teaches a protective enclosure (20) for a riser system (1, 2), the protective enclosure (20) being mounted directly to a wall and includes a cover (20b), col. 3, lines 58-60 and col. 4, line 61. Hollow spaces in the packing of the protective enclosure provide storage spaces for components, such as sprinkler heads, col. 2, lines 2-8. The protective enclosure (20) allows the riser system (1, 2) to be transported and installed as a preassembled unit, col. 1, lines 62-66. In view of the teaching of Dumser et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Beukema et al to include enclosing the fire sprinkler riser system in a protective enclosure so that the riser assembly is transported and installed as a preassembled unit to reduce the cost and time of assembly of the riser system.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,777

Art Unit: 3753

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 25 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN L. LEE whose telephone number is (571) 272-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ERIC KEASEL can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APRIL 3, 2006

Primary Examiner

Page 5